	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/752,944	BURNS, GEOFFREY FRANCIS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NICH OANU BUIL	2623
	KIEU-OANH BUI	2023
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in th or other appropriate communic IGHTS. This application is subj	is application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>the response filed on</u>	<u>09/18/2006</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (	f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	e been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li></ol>	e been received in Application N	ło
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do</li></ol>	cuments have been received in	this national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Review ( I	PTO-948) attached
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in	the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s)	. —	·
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nal Patent Application
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		il Date
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),     Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🗌 Examiner's Am	endinent Comment
<ol> <li>Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ol>	8. 🛛 Examiner's Sta	itement of Reasons for Allowance
<del></del>	9.	#Kumll
		KIEU-OANH BUI Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-20 have been allowed.

## Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1 and 7, the prior art of record to Levy, Toyoda, Mack and Geile either alone or combine fails to teach or suggest a multiprocessor unit, wherein each first and second domain processing means further having each own control processor for controlling a plurality of processors within its domain; and both the first and the second domain processing means further has a global control processor connected to both the first and second domain processing means for configuring the first and second domains. Drogichen et al (now cited) has a control service for configuring domains (Fig. 4); however, Drogichen does not teach the domain has explicitly two domains (they could be more than two) and each domain does not further include each own control processor for controlling their own processors within each domain.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Satagopan et al., Forin, and Mohler disclose systems related to multi-processors with different approaches and techniques.

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to PTO New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Division or Art Unit 2623.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu-Oanh Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

KB

Dec. 01, 2006